


54 responses

Summary


euopt2013

How do you evaluate the quality of the plenary talks?




1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	2	4%
6	3	6%
7	9	17%
8	13	25%
9	15	28%
10	11	21%

How do you evaluate the quality of the talks you followed?




1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	1	2%
5	1	2%
6	3	6%
7	8	15%
8	19	37%
9	13	25%
10	7	13%

How do you evaluate the organization of the sessions?




1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	2	4%
6	1	2%
7	8	15%
8	6	11%
9	17	31%
10	20	37%

How do you evaluate the local organization?




1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	0	0%
6	2	4%
7	7	13%
8	10	19%
9	13	24%
10	22	41%

How do you evaluate the quality of the social program?




1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	0	0%
6	1	2%
7	4	8%
8	3	6%
9	10	20%
10	32	64%

Do you find that registration fee were adequate to the overall quality of the offer ?



1	0	0%
2	1	2%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	2	4%
6	3	6%
7	4	8%
8	5	10%
9	11	21%
10	26	50%

How do you evaluate the workshop website?



1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	1	2%
5	2	4%
6	5	10%
7	5	10%
8	16	31%
9	10	20%
10	12	24%

What did you liked most during this workshop?

size of it, nice atmosphere, friendly organizers Social dinner Local Organization was excellent scientific and socila activities Firenze :) only a few parallel sessions I liked the general atmosphere of the workshop Good possibilities to meet and talk to colleagues, nice coffeebreaks and interesting sourounding. The size of the meeting. The social dinner

the talks coffe breaks :-)
Free discussions The high quality scientific standard. All te
workshop took place in a small area, so meeting people and discussing with them was very
easy. Organized sessions and plenary sessions The workshop was really well organized.
everything — science and friendship, organization and community several talks Friendly
informal environment Location The wideness of the topics Everything was perfect.


What did you disliked most during the workshop?

20 minutes are not enough for delivering good talks Was no such thing Short lunch time
I missed some technical support (e.g. beamer switch on device) atthe beginning of a session
talks of interest in parallel sessions Nothing Nothing. - couldn't listen to many
interesting parallel talks 20 minutes slots The first two plenaries were not directed at a
general audience. n/a nothing time management within the sessions Organization
Computing and simulations seem to try a sort of substitute for good mathematics. 20' for
presentation and questions is too short I would prefer a printed book of abstracts. There
were only three plenary talks The short lunch break Presentation didn't fit to planned time
Nothing in particular

Do you have suggestions or can you indicate something which we might have changed in order to improve the overall organization of the workshop?

no - Increase the number of plenary talks Program with abstracts should be printed for
participants I wanted more plenaries daycare-offer for children would be nice It was quite
difficult to find the correct building with the webpage information. A taxi driver told us that it was
number 40, not 39, of the street Better communication of announcements (before and during
the conference) give guidance how to reach locations (university, reception, ...) closing
plenary session Underscore URLs in the website. :-)
25 minutes per talk, shorter coffee breaks. Better menu for the social dinner: Italian/Tuscan cuisine offers much better choices
The plenary lectures should address more quality mathematics. Information about the
programme of the workshop should be published earlier on the website. Tech support in the
session rooms (in particular preparation of the rooms in the mornings), maps for meeting points
to the social events not only in the web site, but also for the audience of plenary talks, hardcopy
of abstract booklet, short program organized by time slots, not by rooms.

What is your overall evaluation of this workshop?



1	0	0%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%
4	0	0%
5	0	0%
6	0	0%
7	7	13%
8	15	28%
9	20	37%
10	12	22%

Number of daily responses

